副本體感覺區的疼痛反應頻率特性研究

Oscillatory characteristics in the secondary somatosensory (SII) cortex in response to nociceptive stimuli

蕭富榮 Fu-Jung Hsiao
工研院量測中心
fjhsiao@itri.org.tw

陳韋達 Wei-Ta Chen
台北榮總神經內科
wtchen@vghtpe.gov.tw

林永煬 Yung-Yang Lin
陽明大學生理所
yylin@vghtpe.gov.tw

摘要

本研究使用腦磁圖儀，探討於疼痛刺激後，於副本體感覺區的皮質反應頻率特性。十位健康受試者，參與本研究，左手臂接受雷射刺激，產生輕度、中度和重度的疼痛。使用 Morlet 小波分析和等電流偶極模型，得到兩側副本體感覺區的不同頻率波形變化。在各種疼痛程度下，於 180-210 ms，在雙側副本體感覺區，有顯著的 theta 和 alpha 反應，但是與受試者的疼痛感受，其反應變化，只於輕度到中度，有顯著的關係性。

關鍵字：theta、alpha 波，副本體感覺皮質區，雷射疼痛刺激，小波分析，腦磁圖儀

Abstract

We used a whole-head neuromagnetometer to study the oscillatory characteristics in the secondary somatosensory (SII) cortex in response to nociceptive stimuli. Laser pulses were delivered to the left hand dorsum of 10 right-handed healthy adults to elicit mild, moderate, and severe pain. Using Morlet wavelet-based analyses and equivalent current dipole (ECD) modeling, we analyzed the temporal and spatial characteristics of bilateral SII pain-elicited oscillatory activities. In each pain condition, pronounced theta (4-8 Hz) and alpha (8-13 Hz) activities are clearly found at 180-210 ms after stimulus onset in the bilateral temporoparietal regions. Our results suggest that the 4-13 Hz theta and alpha oscillations, peaking from 180 to 210 ms, have an important role in the processing of nociceptive inputs in the bilateral SII areas; but their power may code the magnitude of pain stimuli only up to moderate intensity, as rated subjectively.

Keywords: theta and alpha oscillations, secondary somatosensory cortex, nociceptive laser stimulation, wavelet analysis, magnetoencephalography

Introduction

Unlike electric stimulation, laser stimulation has the advantage of being able to selectively activate cutaneous nociceptive receptors without simultaneously eliciting a tactile sensation response (Bromm *et al.*, 1984). The resultant laser evoked potential (LEP) and magnetic filed (LEF), recorded by electroencephalography (EEG) and magnetoencephalography (MEG), respectively, have been found to be generated by an ascending signal transmitted via the peripheral A-delta (Aδ) and C fibers as well as through the spinothalamic tract of the spinal cord (Bromm and Treede, 1991; Kakigi *et al.*, 1995, 1996; Mor and Carmon, 1975). With a much better spatial resolution, the measurement of LEF is especially suitable for pain research (Kakigi *et al.*, 1995; Timmermann *et al.*, 2001; Forss *et al.*, 2005; Chen *et al.*, 2006). Most biosignals that vary around a mean value can be reconstructed as a sum of sines and cosines occurring at different frequencies (Samar *et al.*, 1995). The spectral wavelet analysis allows the overall variance of a biosignal to be split into its various underlying frequency components (Samar *et al.*, 1995). Differences in the magnitudes of these different frequencies or oscillations can be attributed to differences

in the neural influences that are responsible for pain modulation. We therefore hypothesized that a particular neural oscillation band might specifically reflect the correlation between SII activation and subjective pain rating. To explore this hypothesis, this study investigation involves: a) evaluating the quantitative changes of pain-related oscillatory activities, b) analyzing the correlations between perceived pain levels and the oscillatory activities of the SII cortex.

Materials and Methods

Ten healthy volunteers (8 men and 2 women; mean age 32.1 ± 4.3 years; all right-handed) were included to participate in this study. None had any neurological or psychiatric deficits. Cutaneous nociceptive stimuli were produced using a thulium-YAG laser stimulator (BLM 1000 Tm:YAG®, Baasel Lasertech, Starnberg, Germany) set up in the MEG lab at Taipei Veterans General Hospital. The stimuli were applied to the lateral dorsum of the left hands of the volunteers. Each subject was instructed to rate the perceived intensity of a stabbing pain using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). We firstly determined the strengths of laser pulses for eliciting pain levels at VAS 2-3, VAS 5-6, VAS 8-9 for each subject and then applied the stimuli on each subject to elicit mild, moderate and severe pain, respectively. During the recordings, each subject sat comfortably in a magnetically shielded room with the head supported against the helmet-shaped bottom of a whole-scalp 306-channel neuromagnetometer (Vectorview™, Elekta Neuromag, Helsinki, Finland). Each subject underwent three sessions (mild, moderate, and severe pain) of laser pulse stimulation in a randomized order. Forty responses were averaged in each session. The signals were bandpass filtered (0.1-160 Hz) and digitized at 500 Hz. Epochs were excluded from being averaged whenever the amplitudes of the corresponding electro-oculogram and MEG signals were larger than 300 μV and 6000 fT/cm, respectively. MR images of the brain of each of the subjects were acquired with a 3 T Bruker Medspec300 scanner (Germany).

LEF responses of the gradiometer channels were computed using the continuous wavelet transform. The analysis period of 1100 ms included a prestimulus baseline of 100 ms. We used the Morlet wavelet (Kronland-Martinet *et al.*, 1987), which is a function of time t and frequency f_0 defined as:

$$w(t,f_0) = A\exp(-t^2/(2\sigma_t^2))\exp(i2\pi f_0 t),$$

$$\text{where } \sigma_t = 1/(2\pi\sigma_f) \text{ and } A = 1/(2\pi\sigma_t^2)^{1/2}$$

The width of the wavelet ($m = f_0/\sigma_f$) was chosen to be 7 (Grossmann *et al.*, 1989; Lachaux *et al.*, 1999; Rodriguez *et al.*, 1999; Hsiao *et al.*, 2006). The time-varying amplitude of the neuromagnetic responses in a frequency band around f_0 is the result of the convolution of the complex wavelet $w(t,f_0)$ with the signal s(t) :

$$E(t,f_0) = w(t,f_0) * s(t)$$

This procedure was performed by using a set of wavelets with f_0 ranging from 0.5 to 25 Hz at intervals of 0.5 Hz. The spatial distribution, power and temporal features of the stimulus-related oscillatory activities were exhibited. The time-frequency plots for the selected channels were averaged across individual frequency bands of 0.5–4 Hz, 4–8 Hz, 8–13 Hz and 13–25 Hz to provide the time-varying measures of delta, theta, alpha and beta activities, respectively.

Because of the non-parametric nature of the oscillatory activities in the present study, the peak powers, latencies and ECD locations for the conditions of pain intensity (mild, moderate and severe), frequency band (delta, theta, alpha and beta), hemisphere (contra- and ipsi-lateral SII) were examined by using non-parametric repeated measures ANOVA (Friedman ANOVA) and Wilcoxon's signed ranks test.

Results

Fig.1A shows time-frequency representation of the evoked neuromagnetic responses of Subject 1 by moderate painful stimulation. It shows the spatial distribution of 0.5-25 Hz activities 100 ms before and 1000 ms after stimulus onset. The enhanced oscillatory activities are clearly discernible in the bilateral temporoparietal areas. Fig.1B displays the time-frequency plots from the two channels of interest located around the bilateral SII of Subject 1 in response to mild, moderate, and severe painful stimuli. A power increase was found in all conditions around 150-220 ms following stimulus onset, with maximal power ranging between 5 and 10 Hz.



Fig. 1. (A) Time-frequency representations of the neuromagentic responses following moderate painful stimulation over planar gradiometers of Subject 1. (B) the inserts showing the time-frequency plots over two selected channels around ipsilateral SII (iSII) and contralateral SII (cSII) for mild, moderate and severe pain conditions.

Fig.2 shows the power (mean ± SEM) of the delta to beta frequency bands from the two channels of interest in the bilateral hemispheres in response to mild, moderate and severe pain. We observed significant bilateral power increases in the delta, theta and alpha activities to all the three pain intensities (all $p < 0.01$). With respect to the hemispheres, no significant power difference was found in any of the pain intensity conditions (all $p > 0.2$). Finally, pain intensity elicited significant differences in the theta ($\chi 2 = 7.8$, $p < 0.05$) and alpha ($\chi 2 = 9.8$, $p < 0.01$) activities in the bilateral hemispheres. Wilcoxon testing showed that the power of the theta and alpha bands under mild painful stimulation were significantly smaller than when moderate and severe pain stimuli were used (for theta, $p < 0.02$; for alpha, $p < 0.05$).



Fig. 2. Mean power of delta to beta activities of iSII and cSII responses to laser stimulation with varying pain intensities. * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01

Figs. 3A and 3B show the spatial distribution of time-varying theta and alpha activities for moderate painful stimulation from Subject 1 and Subject 2, respectively. Clear response deflections are observed in the bilateral temporoparietal areas. The isocontour map appeared to show the presence of a single ECD. ECDs from theta and alpha activity were estimated in the superior bank of the Sylvian fissure, around SII, in bilateral hemispheres.





Fig. 3. (A) The spatial distribution of theta activities of Subject 1 to moderate painful stimulation upon the left hand dorsum. (B) The spatial distribution of alpha activities of Subject 2 to moderate painful stimulation. The 204 recording channels are flattened and viewed from top, with the nose pointing upward. The insert shows the enlarged signals (upper panel) from bilateral temporoparietal regions, the corresponding magnetic dipole patterns (middle panel), and source locations (white dots) on MR imaging slices (lower panel).

Discussion and Conclusion

In this study we used wavelet analyses and equivalent current dipole (ECD) modeling to analyze the temporal and spatial characteristics of neuromagnetic oscillatory activities in bilateral SII areas following painful laser stimulation at varying pain intensities. The first finding of this study has been the significant power increase of delta to alpha frequency band activities in the bilateral SII areas 180 to 210 ms following noxious laser stimulation. Remarkably, the salient theta and alpha activities were observed in all pain intensity conditions in the bilateral SII areas. We therefore believe that the theta and alpha activities are essentially engaged in cortical pain processing. In this study, the power increase of theta and alpha activities, when correlated with pain intensity levels, showed a tendency to increase from a moderate to a severe condition, although this tendency was not significant. We clarified the theta and alpha activities in the SII areas code for stimulus strengths of up to 36.5 mJ/mm^2 or up to a moderate degree pain intensity.

Power increases of 4-13 Hz oscillations peaking from 180 to 210 ms play an important role of processing nociceptive inputs in bilateral SII areas. Theta and alpha activities in SII areas reflect the perceived pain magnitude up to the moderate degree, rather than a full scale, of pain rating. These rhythmic generators elicited by different pain intensity heavily overlapped within SII areas.

Reference

[1] Bromm, B., Jahnke, M.T. & Treede, R.D. Responses of human cutaneous afferents to CO2 laser stimuli causing pain. *Exp. Brain Res.*, 55, 158-166, 1984.

[2] Bromm, B. & Treede, R.D. Laser-evoked cerebral potentials in the assessment of cutaneous pain sensitivity in normal subjects and patients. *Rev. Neurol. (Paris)*, 147, 625-643, 1991.

[3] Chen, W.T., Yuan, R.Y., Shih, Y.H., Yeh, T.C., Hung, D.L., Wu, Z.A., Ho, L.T. & Lin, Y.Y. Neuromagnetic SII responses do not fully reflect pain scale. *Neuroimage*, 31, 670-676, 2006.

[4] Forss, N., Raij, T.T., Seppä, M. & Hari, R. Common cortical network for first and second pain. *NeuroImage*, 24, 132-142, 2005.

[5] Grossman, A., Kronland-Martinet, R. & Morlet, J. Reading and understanding continuous wavelets transforms. In Combes, J.M., Groosmsman, A. & Tchamitchian, P. (eds), *Wavelets, Time-frequency Methods and Phase Space*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 2–20, 1989.

[6] Hsiao, F.J., Lin, Y.Y., Hsieh, J.C., Wu, Z.A., Ho, L.T. & Chang, Y. Oscillatory characteristics of face-evoked neuromagnetic responses. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.*, 61, 113-120, 2006.

[7] Kakigi, R., Koyama, S., Hoshiyama, M., Kitamura, Y., Shimojo, M. & Watanabe, S. Pain-related magnetic fields following painful CO_2 laser stimulation in man. *Neurosci. Lett.*, 192, 45-48, 1995.

[8] Kakigi, R., Koyama, S., Hoshiyama, M., Kitamura, Y., Shimojo, M. & Watanabe, S. Pain-related brain responses following CO_2 laser stimulation: magnetoencephalographic studies. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. (Suppl.)*, 47, 111-120, 1996.

[9] Kronland-Martinet, R., Morlet, J. & Grossmann, A. Analysis of sound patterns through wavelet transforms. *Int. J. Patt. Recogn. Art. Intell.*, 1, 273-302, 1987.

[10] Lachaux, J.P., Rodriguez, E., Martinerie, J. & Varela, F.J. Measuring phase synchrony in brain signals. *Hum. Brain Mapp.*, 8, 194-208, 1999.

[11] Mor, J. & Carmon, A. Laser emitted radiant heat for pain research. *Pain*, 1, 233-237, 1975.

[12] Rodriguez, E., George, N., Lachaux, J.P., Martinerie, J., Renault, B. & Varela, F.J. Perception's shadow: long-distance synchronization of human brain activity. *Nature*, 397, 430-433, 1999.

[13] Samar, V.J., Swartz, K.P. & Raghuveer, M.R. Multiresolution analysis of event-related potentials by wavelet decomposition. *Brain Cogn.*, 27, 398-438, 1995.

[14] Timmermann, L., Ploner, M., Haucke, K., Schmitz, F., Baltissen, R. & Schnitzler, A. Differential coding of pain intensity in the human primary and secondary somatosensory cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.*, 86, 1499-1503, 2001.