## Electrophysiologic characteristics and radiofrequency catheter ablation in atrioventricular node reentrant tachycardia with second-degree atrioventricular block.

## 陳亦仁

Lee SH;Chen SA;Tai CT;Chiang CE;Wen ZC;Ueng KC;Chiou CW;Chen YJ;Yu WC;Huang JL;Cheng JJ and Chang MS

## 摘要

## **Abstract**

INTRODUCTION: Detailed electrophysiologic study of AV nodal reentrant tachycardia (AVNRT) with 2:1 AV block has been limited. METHODS AND RESULTS: Six hundred nine consecutive patients with AVNRT underwent electrophysiologic study and radiofrequency catheter ablation of the slow pathway. Twenty-six patients with 2:1 AV block during AVNRT were designated as group I, and those without this particular finding were designated as group II. The major findings of the present study were: (1) group I patients had better anterograde and retrograde AV nodal function, shorter tachycardia cycle length (during tachycardia with 1:1 conduction) (307 +/- 30 vs 360 + -58 msec, P < 0.001), and higher incidence of transient bundle branch block during tachycardia (18/26 vs 43/609, P < 0.001) than group II patients; (2) 21 (80.8%) group I patients had alternans of AA intervals during AVNRT with 2:1 AV block. Longer AH intervals (264 +/- 26 vs 253 +/- 27 msec, P = 0.031) were associated with the blocked beats. However, similar HA intervals (51 +/- 12 vs 50 +/- 12 msec, P = 0.363) and similar HV intervals (53 +/- 11 vs 52 +/- 12, P = 0.834) were found in the blocked and conducted beats; (3) ventricular extrastimulation before or during the His-bundle refractory period bundle could convert 2:1 AV block to 1:1 AV conduction. CONCLUSIONS: Fast reentrant circuit, rather than underlying impaired

conduction of the distal AV node or infranodal area, might account for second-degree AV block during AVNRT. Slow pathway ablation is safe and effective in patients who have AVNRT with 2:1 AV block.